# 2550 Intro to <br> cybersecurity 

## L3: Hash Functions

Ran Cohen \& abhi shelat

## Agenda

- What are hash functions
- Security notions
- Popular hash functions
- Slow hashing


## Recap: Authentication

- Clients authenticate to a server using passwords
- Server storing pwds in the clear - exposed
- Common solution: stores hashed pwds



## Basic notation

- A set is a collection of distinct elements
- $x \in X$ means: an element $x$ belongs to the set $X$
- $|X|$ stands for the size (cardinality) of the set $X$
- $\{0,1\}^{n}$ is the set of all binary strings of length $n$
- $\{0,1\}^{1}$ is 0 and 1
- $\{0,1\}^{2}$ is $00,01,10,11$
- $\{0,1\}^{3}$ is $000,001,010,011,100,101,110,111$
- There are $2^{n}$ binary strings of length $n$, i.e. $\left|\{0,1\}^{n}\right|=2^{n}$
- $\{0,1\}^{*}$ is the set of all binary strings of finite length, i.e.,

$$
\{0,1\}^{*}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\{0,1\}^{n}
$$

## What's a hash function

A hash function maps strings of arbitrary length to a fixed-length output (digital fingerprint)
$h:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$
Desired properties:
Arbitrary length input

- Compressing
- Given $x \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ and $y \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ can verify whether $y=h(x)$
- Output distributed "randomly" (minimize collisions)


Fixed length output (digest)

## Non-cryptographic hash

Heavily used in data structures

- Emphasis: reduce collisions
- Enables, e.g., constant-time lookup
- Example: $x \mapsto x \bmod 7$



## Non-cryptographic hash

Is it good enough?

- In data structures collision resistance is a desire (better performances) but not crucial

For security it is a necessity:
the ability to find collisions yields attacks

- In data structures we can assume that elements are chosen independently of the hash function

In security we consider adversaries that choose inputs with the explicit goal of finding collisions

## Cryptographic hash

Three security flavors for $h:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$ :

- Collision resistant (CR) it is "hard" to find $x \neq x^{\prime}$ such that $h(x)=h\left(x^{\prime}\right)$
- Second preimage resistance / Target-collision resistant (TCR) given $x$ it is "hard" to find $x^{\prime}$ such that $h(x)=h\left(x^{\prime}\right)$
- Preimage resistance / One way (OW) given $y$ it is "hard" to find $x$ such that $h(x)=y$



## Generic attacks \#1

Given a hash function $h:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$
How many values should be tested to find a collision?
There are $2^{n}$ potential output values for $h$
$\Rightarrow$ a collision is guaranteed after testing $2^{n}+1$ values (by the pigeonhole principle)


Wikipedia

## Generic attacks \#2

Given a hash function $h:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$
How many values should be tested to find a collision with good probability?
About $\sqrt{2^{n}}=2^{n / 2}$ (by the birthday paradox)

- Choose $m$ random strings $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$
- There are $\frac{m(m-1)}{2} \approx m^{2}$ pairs
- For $i \neq j, h\left(x_{i}\right)=h\left(x_{j}\right)$ with probability $1 / n$
- Expected number of pairs that collide is $\approx \frac{m^{2}}{n}$



## Common hash functions

| Hash function | Year | Digest length | Security |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MD4 | 1990 | 128 bits | 64 bits |
| MD5 | 1992 | 128 bits | 64 bits |
| SHA-1 | 1995 | 160 bits | 80 bits |
| SHA-2 | 2001 | $256 / 512$ bits | $128 / 256$ bits |
| SHA-3 | 2015 | $256 / 512$ bits | $128 / 256$ bits |

## Is it really an attack?

The birthday paradox yields random collisions - is it meaningful
Yes!!!!
Can generate fire/recommendation letters with same hash
Mr Ran Cohen is a bad teacher and should be fired

## Is it really an attack?

The birthday paradox yields random collisions - is it meaningful

## Yes!!!!

Can generate fire/recommendation letters with same hash

## Mr Ran Cohen is a bad teacher and should be fired Mister horribleinstructor is ought to terminated Dr poor lecturer canned

There are $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3=72$ variations
Generate letter 1 where 64 words have synonyms $\Rightarrow 2^{64}$ variations Similarly generate $2^{64}$ variations of letter 2 $\approx 2^{128}$ pairs

## Domain extension

- Let $f:\{0,1\}^{2 n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$ be a compressing function
- The Merkle-Damgård transform constructs a hash function $h:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$ as follows


Theorem: if $f$ is CR then $h$ is CR

## Domain extension



Theorem: if $f$ is CR then $h$ is CR
Proof idea: assume that $h$ is not CR and prove that $f$ is not CR (this will contradict the assumption).
If $h$ is not CR we can find $x \neq x^{\prime}$ such that $h(x)=h\left(x^{\prime}\right)$
Case 1: if $|x| \neq\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ then there is a collision for $f$ in the last block (that contains the size)
Case 2: if $|x|=\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ then go backwards and check the blocks. A collision for $f$ must exist otherwise $x=x^{\prime}$

## MD5

- Message Digest
- Ron Rivest introduced MD4 (1990)
- Weaknesses found in MD4
- Strengthened to MD5 (1992)
- Generates 128 bit digest
- Based on MD transform
- Very fast, very popular
- Conjectured to offer $2^{64}$ security
- Completely broken (still used)


Wikipedia

## MD5 history

1993 compression function collisions (pseudo collisions)
1996 free-start collision
2004 practical collision attack (1 hour on cluster)
2005 collision in 8 hours on laptop
2006 collision in 1 minute
2007 colliding X. 509 Certificates for different identities
2007 extracting passwords from APOP using MD5 collisions
2009 Rogue CA Certificate of RapidSSL
This allows issuing new "valid" certificates to anyone

## Flame super malware

- Very complex malware
- Used for targeted cyber-espionage in middle east
- Operated 2010-2012
- Discovered May 28, 2012 by Kaspersky Lab
- Implemented a novel collision attack on MD5
- Impersonated a legitimate security update from Microsoft



## SHA1

- Secure Hash Algorithms
- SHA-0 introduced in 1993 by NIST
- Based on MD5
- Digest length 160 bits
- NIST announced SHA-0 is vulnerable
- Introduces SHA-1 in 1995

National Institute of Standards and Technology


Wikipedia

## SHA-1 history

1995 SHA-1 introduced, collision should take $\approx 2^{80}$ steps 2005 Collision in $2^{69}$ steps
2015 Free-start collision in $2^{57}$ steps
2017 First collision found in $\approx 2^{63}$ steps


## SHA-2

- Designed by NSA in 2001
- Various digest length:

SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512

- Based on MD transform
- Known attacks only on weakened version
- Bitcoin uses SHA-256



## SHA-3

- In 2007 NIST announced a competition for new hash
- The winner Keccak designed in 2008
- Accepted as standard in 2015
- NOT based on MD transform
- Novel sponge construction



## Slow hashing

- MD5/SHA-1/SHA-2/SHA-3 are fast \& deterministic
- This is good for many applications:
- Digital signatures
- Message authentication codes (MACs)
- File integrity
- Commitment schemes
- Many more
- NOT GOOD FOR STORING PASSWORDS


## The slower the better

- Recall last lecture
- Offline brute force attacks
- Rainbow tables
- Time-memory tradeoffs
- Idea \#1 - stored passwords should always be salted
- digest = Hash(salt + password)
- Store (salt, digest)
- Idea \#2 - evaluating the hash function should be slow
- Multiple iterations
- Use memory


## Bcrypt

- Designed in 1999
- Always uses salt
- Adjustable number of rounds
- Cost $r \Rightarrow 2^{r}$ rounds
\$2a\$10\$N9qo8uLOickgx2ZMRZoMyeIjZAgcfl7p92ldGxad68LJZdL17lhWy



## scrypt

- Designed in 2009
- Requiring large amounts of memory
- Generates a vector of pseudorandom strings
- Access the vector in a pseudorandom manner
- Either need to store the vector in RAM, or generate on the fly (which is computationally expensive)
- Used for blockchains such as Litecoin


## Recap

- Discussed what's a hash function
- Different security notions
- Generic attacks
- The Merkle-Damgård transform
- Fast hash functions
- Slow hash functions

