# 2550 Intro to <br> cybersecurity <br> L4 

Best practices so far:
(1) Salt and hash pood when storing them
(2) Honey words \& honeyservu (to detect breaches)
(3) use slow hash functions (script)

## Password Storage Summary

1. Never store passwords in plain text
2. Always salt and hash passwords before storing them
3. Use hash functions with a high work factor
4. Implement honeywords to detect breaches

- These rules apply to any system that needs to authenticate users
- Operating systems, websites, etc.


## Still one problem?

Google

Hi abhi
(3) hi.abhi@gmail.com $\vee$
[ Enter your password
—

Forgot password?

## Password Recovery/Reset

- Problem: hashed passwords cannot be recovered (hopefully)

- This is why systems typically implement password reset
- Use out-of-band info to authenticate the user
- Overwrite hash(old_pw) with hash(new_pw)
- Be careful: its possible to crack password reset


## Cracking Password Reset

- Typical implementations use Knowledge Based Authentication (KBA)
- What was your mother's maiden name?
- What was your prior street address?
- Where did you go to elementary school
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## Cracking Password Reset

- Typical implementations use Knowledge Based Authentication (KBA)
- What was your mother's maiden name?
- What was your prior street address?
- Where did you go to elementary school
- Problems?
- This information is widely available to anyone
- Publicly accessible social network profiles
- Background-check services like Spokeo
- Experts recommend that services not use KBA
- When asked, users should generate random answers to these questions


## Other roots of identity



## Choosing Passwords

Bad Algorithms
Better Heuristics
Password Reuse

## Password Reuse

- People have difficulty remembering >4 passwords
- Thus, people tend to reuse passwords across services
- What happens if any one of these services is compromised?
- Service-specific passwords are a beneficial form of compartmentalization
- Limits the damage when one service is inevitably breaches
- Use a password manager
- Some service providers now check for password reuse
- Forbid users from selecting passwords that have appeared in leaks

Favorites (8) *

## Qairbnb

## Evernote

fan@lastpass.com

## amazon.com

## Amazon

fanellastpass.com

## facebook

## Facebook

fan@lastpass.com

Banking and Finance (3) V

Bank of America

Bank of America
fanelostpass.com

## Fidelity

Fidelity
fanelastpass com

## mint

Dropbox

Dropbox
tan@lastpass.com

## twitker

Twitter
fan0ustpass.com

## Dashlane

| － 0 |  | ${ }_{\text {aj }}$ Password Changer | 18S Share | Name ${ }^{\text {－}}$ | ：$: ~: ~=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q Search | Add new |  |  |  |  |
| VAult | ＊\＃（22） |  |  |  |  |
| 䦔 Passwords |  | 1.1 （wgr614v5） |  |  |  |
| ［1）Secure Notes |  | admin |  |  |  |
| ¢ Personal Info |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Payments | 5 | $1.20 \text { (812b) }$ root |  |  |  |
| 国 IDs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 目 Receipts | P | 1.50 （airstation） <br> root |  |  |  |
| SECURTY |  |  |  |  |  |
| © Identity Dashboard | $\cdots$ | 10．0．1．2 admin |  |  |  |
| 1 Password Health |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONTACTS | E | 10.0.1.50 <br> 812 A 12 （5Ghz） |  |  |  |
| \＆Sharing Center |  |  |  |  |  |
| 国 Emergency | 0 | 10.250.224.2 <br> ashelat |  |  |  |
|  |  | 104．131．125．119 <br> abhi＠arqspin．com |  |  |  |
| $\% \text { Getting Started }$ | E | 11.1 （airstation） root |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sync: Off } \\ & \text { A } 5 \text { days left of Premium } \\ & \text { Extend Premium } \end{aligned}$ | E | 11.1 （dd－wrt） root |  |  |  |



## ';--have i been pwned?

Check if you have an account that has been compromised in a data breach
$\square$
email address or username

264

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
10,000 \text { words })^{4}=\left(10^{4}\right)^{4} \sim 10^{16} 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Two Factor Authentication

Biometrics

SMS
Authentication Codes
Smartcards \& Hardware Tokens

## Types of Secrets

- Actors provide their secret to log-in to a system
- Three classes of secrets:

1. Something you know

- Example: a password

2. Something you have

- Examples: a smart card or smart phone

3. Something you are

- Examples: fingerprint, voice scan, iris scan

$$
\text { popecty that is difficult } \rightarrow \text { soplicote }
$$

## Biometrics

- ancient Greek: bios ="life", metron ="measure"
- Physical features
- Fingerprints
- Facé recognition
- Retinal and iris scans
- Hand geometry
- Behavioral characteristics
- Handwriting recognition
- Voice recognition
- Typing cadence
- Gait


## Fingerprints

- Ubiquitous on modern smartphones, some laptops
- Secure?
- May be subpoenaed by law enforcement
- Relatively easy to compromise

1. Pick up a latent fingerprint (e.g. off a glass) using tape or glue
2. Photograph and enhance the fingerprint
3. Etch the print into gelatin backed by a conductor
4. Profit ;)
https://www.theregister.co.uk/2002/05/16/gummi bears defeat fingerprint sensors/
h

## Facial Recognition

- Popularized by FaceID on the iPhone $X$
- Secure?
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## Facial Recognition

- Popularized by FaceID on the iPhone X
- Secure?
- It depends
- Vulnerable to law enforcement requests
- Using 2D images?
- Not secure
- Trivial to break with a photo of the target's face
- Using 2D images + 3D depth maps?
- More secure, but not perfect
- Can be broken by crafting lifelike mask of the target


Specially processed area
2D images
Silicone nose 3D printed frame

## By Press Association

## Saturday, October 19, 2019-01:20 PM

Google has confirmed the Face Unlock system on its new Pixel 4 smartphone can allow access to the device even when the user has their eyes closed.

Early testers of the phone, as well as security experts, have raised concerns it could lead to unauthorised access to the device.

It has been suggested someone else could gain access to the phone by holding it in front of the face of its sleeping owner, but Google said it meets security requirements.

The technology giant unveiled the new phone earlier this week.

In a statement, Google said: "Pixel 4 Face Unlock meets the security requirements as a strong biometric and can be used for payments and app authentication, including banking apps.
"It is resilient against unlock attempts via other means, like with masks.
"If you want to temporarily disable Face Unlock, you can use lockdown mode to temporarily require a PIN/pattern/password.

## Voice Recognition

- Secure?
- Very much depends on the implementation
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## Voice Recognition

## - Secure?

- Very much depends on the implementation
- Some systems ask you to record a static phrase
- E.g. say "unlock" to unlock
- This is wildly insecure
- Attacker can record and replay your voice
- Others ask you to train a model of your voice


## "Ok Google" Trusted Voice

Trusted voice is less secure than a pattern, PIN, or password. Someone with a similar voice or a recording of your voice could unlock your device

- Train the system by speaking several sentences
- To authenticate, sépeak several randomly chosen words
- Not vulnerable to trivial replay attacks, but still vulnerable
- Given enough samples of your voice, an attacker can train a synthetic voice Al that sounds just like you


## Fundamental Issue With Biometrics

- Biometrics are immutable
- You are the password, and you can't change
- Unless you plan on undergoing plastic surgery?
- Once compromised, there is no reset
- Passwords and tokens can be changed
- Example: the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) breach
- US gov agency responsible for background checks
- Had fingerprint records of all people with security clearance
- Breached by China in 2015, all records stolen :(


## Something You Have

- Two-factor authentication has become more commonplace
- Possible second factors:
- SMS passcodes
- Time-based one time passwords
- Hardware tokens

SMS Two Factor

- Relies on your phone number as the second factor
- Key assumption: only your phone should receive SMS sent to your number
SIM - SWAPPING attack.
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## SMS Two Factor

- Relies on your phone number as the second factor
- Key assumption: only your phone should receive SMS sent to your number
- SMS two factor is deprecated. Why?
- Social engineering the phone company

1. Call and pretend to be the victim
2. Say "I got a new SIM, please activate it"
3. If successful, phone calls and SMS are now sent to your SIM in your phone, instead of the victim

- Not hypothetical: successfully used against many victims


First, criminals call a cell phone carrier's tech support number pretending to be their target. They explain to the company's employee that they "lost" their SIM card, requesting their phone number be transferred, or ported, to a new SIM card that the hackers themselves already own. With a bit of social engineering-perhaps by providing the victim's Social Security Number or home address (which is often available from one of the many data breaches that have happened in the last few years)-the criminals convince the employee that they really are who they claim to be, at which point the employee ports the phone number to the new SIM card.

Game over.

Free T-Mobile Msg: The SIM card for
has been updated.
Account activity details at my.tmobile.com. Call 1-800-937-8997 if change is unauthorized.

"With someone's phone number," a hacker who does SIM swapping told me, "you can get into every account they own within minutes and they can't do anything about it."

## One Time Passwords

- Generate ephemeral passcodes that change over time
- To login, supply normal password and the current one time password


## Changes <br> (NAUTHY

 every few minutesACME INC TOKEN IS:
6883932 부

Dll Duo Mobile

Lastpass Authenticator

Google Authenticator

## Time-based One-time Password Algorithm

$$
\text { TO = <the beginning of time, typically Thursday, } 1 \text { January } 1970 \text { UTC> }
$$

$$
T I=<\text { length of time the password should be valid> }
$$

$$
K=\text { <shared secret key> }
$$

$$
d=\text { <the desired number of digits in the password> }
$$

$$
T C=\text { floor }((\text { unixtime (now) }- \text { unixtime }(T O)) / T I),
$$

$$
\text { TOTP }=\mathrm{HMAC(K,TC)} \% 10^{\mathrm{d}}
$$

## Time-based One-time Password Algorithm

TO = <the beginning of time, typically Thursday, 1 January 1970 UTC>
$T I=$ <length of time the password should be valid>
$K=$ <shared secret key>
$d=$ <the desired number of digits in the password>
$T C=$ floor((unixtime(now) - unixtime(TO)) / TI),
TOTP $=$ HMAC(K, TC) \% 10d

Given $K$, this algorithm can be run on your phone and by the service provider

## Secret Sharing for TOTP

## Enable Two-Step Sign in

An authenticator app generates the code automatically on your smartphone. Free apps are available for all smartphone platforms including iOS, Android, Blackberry and Windows. Look for an app that supports time-based one-time passwords (TOTP) such as Google Authenticator or Duo Mobile.

To set up your mobile app, add a new service and scan the QR code.


If you can't scan the code, enter this secret key manually: fvxo


## Hardware Two Factor

- Special hardware designed to hold cryptographic keys
- Physically resistant to key extraction attacks
- E.g. scanning tunneling electron microscopes
- Uses:
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ factor for OS log-on
- 2nd factor for some online services
- Storage of PGP and SSH keys



## Universal 2nd Factor (U2F)

- Supported by Chrome, Opera, and Firefox (must be manually enabled)
- Works with Google, Dropbox, Facebook, Github, Gitlab, etc.


## Google

## 2-Step Verification

Use your device to sign in to your Google Account.


Insert your Security Key
If your Security Key has a button, tap it.
If it doesn't, remove and re-insert it.
Remember this computer for 30 days

## Universal 2nd Factor (U2F)

- Supported by Chrome, Opera, and Firefox (must be manually enabled)
- Works with Google, Dropbox, Facebook, Github, Gitlab, etc.
- Pro tip: always buy 2 security keys
- Associate both with your accounts
- Keep one locked in a safe, in case you lose your primary key ;)


## Google

## 2-Step Verification

Use your device to sign in to your Google Account.


Insert your Security Key
If your Security Key has a button, tap it.
If it doesn't, remove and re-insert it.
Remember this computer for 30 days

## How does U2F work?



Sign challenge using sk $\square$


Login
Init
\{login, ch \}
\{s \}
\{login, challenge ch \}
TANDOM MSg
Verify $_{p k}(c h)$

## Vulnerable to simple attack




Google
Welcome
hi.abhi@gmail.com v

Enter your password

Forgot password?

security

.th


# Simple Phishing 

Lure: A spammed email with a call to action from a seemingly legitimate source encouraging the user to visit a hook website.

Hook: A website designed to mimic legitimate site and collect confidential information.

## Spear Phishing @ IU

Experiment by T. Jagatic, N. Johnson, M. Jakobsson, F. Menczer.


## Control Phishing Success Rate:


with $95 \%$ Confidence Interval

## Spear Phishing Success Rate:


with 95\% Confidence Interval

## Spear Phishing Success Rate by Gender



## VOIP Phishing

Lure: Get victim to call a bogus 800... number about their account.

Hook: Have the human on the other end extract the victim's information.

From: FlagStar Bank [usflag60536@flagstar.com](mailto:usflag60536@flagstar.com) Date: 11 Sep 2007 10:55:21-0400
To: [samyers@indiana.edu](mailto:samyers@indiana.edu)
Subject: You have one new private message

Dear FlagStar Bank card holder,
You have one new private message.
Please call free 800-870-8124 to listen to your private message.

Copyright ©2007 FlagStar Bank

From: FlagStar Bank [usflag60536@flagstar.com](mailto:usflag60536@flagstar.com)
Date: 11 Sep 2007 10:55:21-0400
To: [samyers@indiana.edu](mailto:samyers@indiana.edu)
Subject: You have one new private message

Dear FlagStar Bank card holder,
You have one new private message.
Please call free 800-870-8124 to listen to your private message.

Copyright ©2007 FlagStar Bank

## Source: Steven Myers, IU

## Google



MAR 19
http://myaccount.google.om-securitysettingpage.tk/security/signinoptions/password?
 D\%3D\&img=Ly9saDQuZ29vZ2xIdXNIcmNvbnRIbnQuY29tLy1RZVIPbHJkVGp2WS9BQUFB... http://myaccount.google.com-securitysettingpage.tk/security/signinoptions/password?
e=am9obi5wb2RIc3RhQGdtYWIsLmNvbQ\%3D\%3D\&fn=Sm9obiBQb2RIc3Rh\&n=Sm9obg\%3D\%3D\&img=Ly9saDQuZ29vZ2xldXNIcmNvbnRIbnQuY29tLy1RZVI PbHJkVGp2WS9BQUFBQUFBQUFBSS9BQUFBQUFBQUFCTS9CQIdVOVQObUZUWS9waG90by5qcGc\%3D\&id=1sutlodlwe
bitly.com $\qquad$

2

## U2F can help prevent this attack



\{ pk, sign_sk("username") \}

Sign challenge using sk
Login $\qquad$

## U2F can help prevent this attack



Make a signing key Init (sk,pk)
\{ pk, sign_sk("username") \}

\{login, challenge ch $\}$
$\operatorname{Verify}_{p k}\left(\right.$ ch, url, $\left.\mathrm{tls}_{i d}\right)$

# U2F can help prevent tracking 



Website
(Relying

\{pk, sign_sk("username") \}

## U2F can help prevent tracking



Website
(Relying Party)

User, h, pk

## U2F can help prevent tracking

Make a signing key
(sk,pk)
And link it with appid, and create A token " h "


## Login

Lookup sk using h Sign challenge using sk $s \leftarrow \operatorname{Sign}_{s k}\left(c h, \mathrm{url}^{2}, \mathrm{tls}_{i d}\right) \quad\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{h}\}$
\{login, appid, challenge ch\}


Verify $_{p k}\left(\right.$ ch, url, $\left.\mathrm{tls}_{i d}\right)$
Check h

```
Son
pritoca Sending request with appId: https://u2f.bin.coffee
```

"version": "U2F_V2",
"challenge": "uQ̄nl3M4Rj3FZgs6WjyLaZAfwRh4"

Got response:
 "errorCode": 0,
"registrationData": "BQRSuRLPv0p5udQ55vVhucf3N50q6...",
"version": "U2F_V2"
\}
 Certificate: 3082021c3082...
Attestation Cert
Subject: Yubico U2F EE Serial 14803321578
Validity (in millis): 1136332800000

Attestation Signature
R; 00b11e3efe5ae5ac7ca0e0d4fe2c5b5cf18a2531c0f4f70b11c30b72b5f946a9a3
S : 0f37ab2d4f93ebcdaed0a51b4b17fb93403db9873f0e9cce36f17b1502734bb2
[PASS] Signature buffer has no unnecessary bytes.: $71==71$
[AASS] navigator.id.finishEnrollment $==$ navigator.id.finishEnrollment
[PASS] uQnl3M4Rj3FZgs6WjyLaZAfwRh4 == uQnl3M4Rj3FZgs6WjyLaZAfwRh4
[PASS] https://u2f.bin.coffee == https://u2f.bin.coffee
[PASS] Verified certificate attestation signature
[PASS] Imported credential public key
Failures: 0 TODOs: 0

Future without passwords?


## Authentication Protocols

Unix, PAM, and crypt
Network Information Service (NIS, aka Yellow Pages)
Needham-Schroeder and Kerberos

## Status Check

- At this point, we have discussed:
- How to securely store passwords
- Techniques used by attackers to crack passwords
- Biometrics and 2nd factors


## Status Check

- At this point, we have discussed:
- How to securely store passwords
- Techniques used by attackers to crack passwords
- Biometrics and 2nd factors
- Next topic: building authentication systems
- Given a user and password, how does the system authenticate the user?
- How can we perform efficient, secure authentication in a distributed system?

Building authentication systems

## Example PAM Configuration

```
# cat /etc/pam.d/system-auth
#%PAM-1.0
auth required pam_unix.so try_first_pa
auth optional pam_permit.so
auth required pam_env.so
- Use SHA512 as the hash function
- Use /etc/shadow for storage
account required pam_unix:so
account optional pam_permit.so
account required pam_time.so
password required pam_unix.so try_first_pass nullok sha512 shadow
password optional pam_permit.so_
session required pam_limits.so
session required pam_unix.so
session optional pam_permit.so
```


## Unix Passwords

- Traditional method: crypt
- 25 iterations of DES on a zeroed vector
- First eight bytes of password used as key (additional bytes are ignored)
- 12-bit salt
- Modern version of crypt are more extensible
- Support for additional hash functions like MD5, SHA256, and SHA512
- Key lengthening: defaults to 5000 iterations, up to $10^{8}$ - 1
- Full password used
- Up to 16 bytes of salt


## Password Files

- Password hashes used to be in /etc/passwd
- World readable, contained usernames, password hashes, config information
- Many programs read config info from the file...
- But very few (only one?) need the password hashes


## Password Files

- Password hashes used to be in /etc/passwd
- World readable, contained usernames, password hashes, config information
- Many programs read config info from the file...
- But very few (only one?) need the password hashes
- Turns out, world-readable hashes are Bad Idea
- Hashes now located in /etc/shadow.
- Also includes account $\overline{\text { metadata }}$ like expiration
- Only visible to root

$$
\sim_{m} 500
$$


hashat
"

## Password Storage on Linux

/etc/passwd
username:x:UID:GID:full_name:home_directory:shell
cbw:x:1001:1000:Christo Wilson:/home/cbw/:/bin/bash
amislove:1002:2000:Alan Mislove:/home/amislove/:/bin/sh

## Password Storage on Linux

username:x:UID:GID:full_name:home_directory:shell
cbw:x:1001:1000:Christo Wilson:/home/cbw/:/bin/bash
\$<algo>\$<salt>\$<hash> n Mislove:/home/amislove/:/bin/sh

Algo: 1 = MD5, 5 = SHA256, 6 = SHA512
/etc/shadow
ername:password:last:may:must:warn:expire:disable:reserved
cbw:\$1\$0nSd5ewF\$0df/3G7iSV49nsbAa/5gSg:9479:0:10000:::: amislove:\$1\$13RxU5F1\$:8172:0:10000::::

## Password Security game



## More realistic picture of the world



回 ab pu

More realistic picture of the world
What are the problems with this solution?
(1) adversary can steal the $\frac{\text { not good }}{\square=\square}$ most pullic machine. \& get the

Alice
pw
(2) managemet if the pod fie

- New r users - charged puds $\square$


## The problem of distributed authentication



## Distributed authentication: Attacker model

What can attacker do?


Mice
pw

## NEU PWD <br> Server


$\square$
$\square$

## Distributed authentication: Bad Solution

What can attacker do?


## Distributed authentication: Bad Solution



## Needham-Schroeder Protocol

- Let Alice $A$ and Bob $B$ be two parties that trust server $S$
- $K_{A S}$ and $K_{B S}$ are shared secrets between $[A, S]$ and $[B, S]$
- $K_{A B}$ is a negotiated session key between $[A, B]$
- $N_{i}$ and $N_{j}$ are random nonces generated by $A$ and $B$

1) $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_{i}$
2) $S \rightarrow A:\left\{N_{i}, K_{A B}, B,\left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}}\right\}_{K_{A S}}$
3) $A \rightarrow B:\left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}}$
4) $B \rightarrow A:\left\{N_{j}\right\}_{K_{A B}}$
5) $A \rightarrow B:\left\{N_{j}-1\right\}_{K_{A B}}$
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1) $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_{i}$
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## Needham-Schroeder Protocol

- Let Alice $A$ and Bob $B$ be two parties that trust server $S$
- $K_{A S}$ and $K_{B S}$ are shared secrets between $[A, S]$ and $[B, S]$
- $K_{A B}$ is a negotiated session key between $[A, B]$
- $N_{i}$ and $N_{j}$ are random nonces generated by $A$ and $B$

1) $A \rightarrow S: A, B, N_{i}$
$K_{A S}$ is not sent in the clear, authenticates $S$ and $A$
2) $S \rightarrow A:\left\{N_{i}, K_{A B}, B,\left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}}\right\}$
3) $A \rightarrow B:\left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}}$
$K_{B S}$ is not sent in the clear, authenticates $B$
4) $B \rightarrow A:\left\{N_{j}\right\}_{K_{A B}}$
5) $A \rightarrow B:\left\{N_{j}-1\right\}_{K_{A B}}$

## Notorious Needham-Schroeder Protocol

Goal: $K_{A B}$

$$
K_{A S} \text { (lice } \quad \mathscr{B o b} K_{B S}
$$

## Notorious Needham-Schroeder Protocol

Goal: $K_{A B}$


## Notorious Needham-Schroeder Protocol

Goal: $K_{A B}$


## Notorious Needham-Schroeder Protocol

Goal: $K_{A B}$


## Notorious Needham-Schroeder Protocol

Goal: $K_{A B}$
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## Notorious Needham-Schroeder Protocol

Goal: $K_{A B}$


Suppose attacker tries to impersonate Alice

## Bob $K_{B S}$

$$
S \rightarrow A:\left\{N_{i}, K_{A B},\left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}}\right\}_{K_{A S}}
$$

$$
K_{A S} \quad \text { NE PWD }
$$

$$
K_{B S} \quad \text { Server }
$$

## Notorious Needham-Schroeder Protocol

Goal: $K_{A B}$

## $K_{\text {AS }}$ (lice

Suppose
attacker tries to impersonate Alice to Bob

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
K_{A S} & \text { NEU PWD } \\
K_{B S} & \text { Server }
\end{array}
$$

## Needham-Schroeder Replay Attack

Goal: $K_{A B}$
${ }^{3}\left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}}$


Protocol runs once. Attacker observes.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}} \\
& \left\{N_{j}-1\right\}_{K_{A B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{\text {AS }}$ NEU PWD
$K_{B S} \quad$ Server

## Needham-Schroeder Replay Attack

Goal: $K_{A B}$

## ${ }^{3}\left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}}$



Protocol runs once. Attacker observes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{K_{A B}, A\right\}_{K_{B S}} \\
& \left\{N_{j}-1\right\}_{K_{A B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{\text {AS }} \quad$ NEU PWD
$K_{B S} \quad$ Server

## Needham-Schroeder Replay Attack

Goal: $K_{A B}$

## $K_{A S}$ <br> Alice <br> $K_{A B}$



## Needham-Schroeder Replay Attack
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## Needham-Schroeder Replay Attack
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## Needham-Schroeder Replay Attack

Goal: $K_{A B}$


Protocol runs once. Attacker observes.
Attacker breaks into Alice and steals old K_AB. Alice updates K_AS.


Fixed Needham-Schroeder Protocol Goal: $K_{A B}$


## "Single Sign on"

Sign up with your identity provider
You'll use this service to log in to your network

G Sign up with Google

E Sign up with Microsoft

OR

Enter your email...
$1 ;$

Sign up with Email

## Same problem as before



## Kerberos

- Created as part of MIT Project Athena
- Based on Needham-Schroeder
- Provides mutual authentication over untrusted networks
- Tickets as assertions of authenticity, authorization
- Forms basis of Active Directory authentication
- Principals
- Client
- Server
- Key distribution center (KDC)
- Authentication server (AS)
- Ticket granting server (TGS)


## Oauth



## Oauth

"I want to use your service"


## Oauth

"I want to use your service"


## Oauth

"I want to use your service"


## Attacks against "Login with..." services

## Log in with Twitter

Use Log in with Twitter, also known as Sign in with Twitter, to place a button on your site or application which allows Twitter users to enjoy the benefits of a registered user account in as little as one click. This works on websites, iOS, mobile, and desktop applications.

V Sign in with Twitter

## Use Sign in with Apple on your Apple device

Using Sign in with Apple is quick and easy on any Apple device with the latest software. Make sure you're signed in with your Apple ID on your device.

1. Tap the Sign in with Apple button on the participating app or website.
If the app or site has not requested any information to set up your account, check that your Apple ID is correct and go to Step 4.

If you're asked to provide your name and email address, Sign in with Apple automatically fills in the information from your Apple ID. You can edit your name if you like and choose Share My Email or Hide My Email.
Tap Continue and confirm with a quick Face ID, Touch ID, or device passcode to sign in. If you don't have Face ID, Touch ID, or a passcode set up, enter your Apple ID password.


## Sources

1. Many slides courtesy of Wil Robertson: https://wkr.io
2. Honeywords, Ari Juels and Ron Rivest: http://www.arijuels.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/JR13.pdf

- For more on generating secure passwords, and understanding people's mental models of passwords, see the excellent work of Blas Ur: http://www.blaseur.com/pubs.htm

