2550 Intro to
cybersecurity L13: Signatures

abhi shelat

Recap

Very old problem

New Problem

New Problem

Bob

New Problem

MESSAGE SPACE $\{M\}_n$

Gen(*1n*)

Signsk(*m*)

Vervk(*m,s*)

MESSAGE SPACE $\{M\}_n$

Gen(*1n*) GENERATES A KEY PAIR SK, VK

Signsk(*m*)

Vervk(*m,s*)

MESSAGE SPACE $\{M\}_n$

Gen(*1n*) GENERATES A KEY PAIR SK, VK

Vervk(*m,s*)

$m\in\mathcal{M}_n$

Signsk(*m*) generates a signature *s* for

MESSAGE SPACE $\{M\}_n$

Gen(*1n*) GENERATES A KEY PAIR SK, VK

Vervk(*m,s*) accepts or rejects a msg,sig pair

Signsk(*m*) generates a signature *s* for

 $Pr[k \leftarrow Gen(1^n) : Ver_{vk}(m, Sign_{sk}(m)) = 1] = 1$

$m\in\mathcal{M}_n$

existential unforgability

" even when given a signing oracle,

an adversary cannot forge a signature for

ANY MESSAGE OF ITS CHOOSING"

existential unforgability

"EVEN WHEN GIVEN A SIGNING ORACLE,

AN ADVERSARY CANNOT FORGE A SIGNATURE FOR

ANY MESSAGE OF ITS CHOOSING"

 $(vk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$

I'm going to make a signing key. Here is the public part of it.

Signature security Now I Will ask

 $(vk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$

you to sign lots of messages that I choose.

 m_0, m_1, \ldots

 \mathcal{V}

 $(vk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$

OK. I will give you signatures on m1,m2,…

Now I will ask you to sign lots of messages that I choose.

 \mathcal{V}

 $s_i \leftarrow$ Sign_{sk} (m_i)

 $(vk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$

Now I will try to create a new (signature, message) pair...one that I didn't receive from yoiu. signature on a new message

 $s_i \leftarrow \text{Sign}_{sk}(m_i)$

 νk S_1, S_2, \ldots

If you do, you have won the game!

Now I will try to create a new (msg*, sig*) pair…one that I didn't receive from you.

$$
\text{Ver}_{\nu k}(m^*, s^*) \stackrel{?}{=} 1
$$

FOR ALL NON-UNIFORM PPT A

$\sum_{i} \left[\begin{matrix} (vk, sk) \leftarrow Gen(1^n) \ Ver_{vk}(m, s) = 1 \quad \text{AND} \ A \text{ DIDNT} \end{matrix} \right]$

$$
T^{(n)}(m,s) \leftarrow A^{Sign_{sk}(\cdot)} : \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ & & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}
$$

Textbook RSA Signatures (insecure) Pick $N = p^*q$ where p,q are primes. Pick e,d such that $e \cdot d = 1 \text{ mod } \phi(N)$

Verify((pk=e, N), *σ*, m): $m \stackrel{?}{=} \sigma^e \mod N$

Textbook RSA Signatures (insecure) Pick $N = p^*q$ where p,q are primes. Pick e,d such that $e \cdot d = 1 \text{ mod } \phi(N)$

Sign((sk=d, N) m):

Compute the signature: $\sigma \leftarrow m^d \mod N$

Lets pick a key $N = 443 * 919 = 407177$.

Lets say $e = 65537$. What is d?

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- -
-

Lets pick a key N = $443 * 919 = 407177$.

Lets say $e = 65537$. What is d?

Sign the message $m =$ "22" = 0x3232 = 12850.

Lets pick a key N = $443 * 919 = 407177$.

Lets say $e = 65537$. What is d?

Sign the message $m =$ "22" = 0x3232 = 12850.

 $sig = 84760.$

Lets pick a key N = $443 * 919 = 407177$.

Lets say $e = 65537$. What is d?

Sign the message $m =$ "22" = 0x3232 = 12850.

 $sig = 84760.$

Verify the signature ("22", 84760) :

Textbook RSA Signatures (insecure)

Sign((sk=d, N) m): Compute the signature: $\sigma \leftarrow m^d \mod N$ Pick $N = p^*q$ where p,q are primes. Pick e,d such that $e \cdot d = 1 \text{ mod } \phi(N)$ Verify((pk=e, N), σ , m): $m \stackrel{?}{=} \sigma^e \mod N$

Why is this scheme insecure?

Textbook RSA Signatures (insecure)

Sign((sk=d, N) m): Pick $N = p^*q$ where p,q are primes. Pick e,d such that $e \cdot d = 1 \text{ mod } \phi(N)$

Compute the signature: $\sigma \leftarrow m^d \mod N$ Verify((pk=e, N), σ , m): $m \stackrel{?}{=} \sigma^e \mod N$

Given the signature pair $("22" = 12850, 84760)$, what is the signature on 12850 * 12850 = 165122500 ?

Why is this scheme insecure?

RSA Signatures (PKCSv1.5)

Sign((sk, N) m):

Compute the signature: $\sigma \leftarrow z^{sk} \mod N$

(Randomized padding to prevent basic forgery attacks. Widely used, but first full security proof was written in 2018.)

Compute the padding: $z \leftarrow 00 \cdot 01 \cdot FF \cdots FF \cdot 00 \cdot ID_H \cdot H(m)$

Speed openssl speed rsa dsa ecdsa

Doing 1024 bits private rsa's for 10s: 86688 1024 bits private RSA's in 9.99s Doing 1024 bits public rsa's for 10s: 1341152 1024 bits public RSA's in 10.00s Doing 2048 bits private rsa's for 10s: 13154 2048 bits private RSA's in 9.99s Doing 2048 bits public rsa's for 10s: 437080 2048 bits public RSA's in 10.00s Doing 3072 bits private rsa's for 10s: 4243 3072 bits private RSA's in 10.00s Doing 3072 bits public rsa's for 10s: 211605 3072 bits public RSA's in 10.00s Doing 4096 bits private rsa's for 10s: 1845 4096 bits private RSA's in 9.99s Doing 4096 bits public rsa's for 10s: 125130 4096 bits public RSA's in 9.99s Doing 1024 bits sign dsa's for 10s: 74467 1024 bits DSA signs in 9.95s Doing 1024 bits verify dsa's for 10s: 95863 1024 bits DSA verify in 9.99s Doing 2048 bits sign dsa's for 10s: 30197 2048 bits DSA signs in 9.97s Doing 2048 bits verify dsa's for 10s: 33802 2048 bits DSA verify in 10.00s Doing 256 bits sign ecdsa's for 10s: 339010 256 bits ECDSA signs in 9.89s Doing 256 bits verify ecdsa's for 10s: 115106 256 bits ECDSA verify in 10.00s Doing 384 bits sign ecdsa's for 10s: 7773 384 bits ECDSA signs in 9.98s Doing 384 bits verify ecdsa's for 10s: 10066 384 bits ECDSA verify in 10.00s Doing 521 bits sign ecdsa's for 10s: 25316 521 bits ECDSA signs in 9.98s Doing 521 bits verify ecdsa's for 10s: 12896 521 bits ECDSA verify in 9.99s Doing 283 bits sign ecdsa's for 10s: 13860 283 bits ECDSA signs in 9.98s Doing 283 bits verify ecdsa's for 10s: 7028 283 bits ECDSA verify in 9.99s Doing 409 bits sign ecdsa's for 10s: 8441 409 bits ECDSA signs in 9.99s Doing 409 bits verify ecdsa's for 10s: 4309 409 bits ECDSA verify in 9.98s

Eve

DID SEND IT.

Gen(*1n*):

Signk(*m*):

Verk(*m,t*):

Construction of a MAC LET ${F_k}$ BE A PRF FAMILY LIKE AES

Gen(*1n*):

Signk(*m*):

Verk(*m,t*):

 $Gen(1n): k \leftarrow U_n$

Signk(*m*):

Verk(*m,t*):

LET ${F_k}$ BE A PRF FAMILY LIKE AES

 $Gen(1n): k \leftarrow U_n$

$Sign_k(m): t \leftarrow F_k(m)$

Verk(*m,t*):

LET ${F_k}$ BE A PRF FAMILY LIKE AES

Gen(*1n*):

$Sign_k(m): t \leftarrow F_k(m)$

 $Verk(m,t)$: ACCEPT IF

LET ${F_k}$ BE A PRF FAMILY LIKE AES

m Alice $t' \leftarrow \text{Sign}_k(m')$ K

Alice 1 *m*′ $t' \leftarrow$ Sign_k (m') *t*′ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$

Alice 1 *m*′ $t' \leftarrow$ Sign_k (m') *t*′ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$

Security intuition

$Pr[F_{k}(m) = t] =$

Lets do some class exercises with these tools.

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- - -